TACJE

TRANSNATIONAL ACADEMIC JOURNAL OF ECONOMICS

Transnational Academic Journal of Economics, Year: 2024, Volume: 1, Issue: 2, Pages : 149-159 ***Research Article***

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.10884168

Publication Date: 03.30.2024

Djillza Vllasaku[1]

1. *State university of Tetova*

Functions of Managerial Accounting

Abstract

Summarizes the key features of managerial accounting. Identifying cost components is essential prior to making resource-dependent decisions for certain programs or objectives. Costs need to be evaluated for both the short term and the long term while also being quantified. After decisions are finalized, it is crucial to monitor and regulate expenditures to ensure they are suitable and justifiable for the activities being carried out. The program's overall success should be assessed to enhance future resource allocation decisions. There are no strict or generally accepted rules that limit the scope of managerial accounting. Managerial accounting enables financial analyses to aid in policy-making, decision-making, and the planning and monitoring of present and future activities. Internal management reporting may necessitate gathering and displaying financial data in formats that diverge significantly from those used for external reporting.

Managerial accounting mainly deals with four functions:

- Managerial planning
- Determining the cost
- Cost control
- Performance evaluation

Keywords: Accounting, Costs, features, management, reporting

How to cite: Djillza V. (2024). Functions of Managerial Accounting. *Transnational Academic Journal of Economics, 1*(1), 149-159.

Introduction

Managers frequently require real-time information when situations and issues get more severe. Perhaps they are willing to prioritize streaming data over precision. Hence, in managerial accounting, approximations are frequently as valuable (or possibly even more valuable) as precise calculations. Financial accounting is inherently imprecise. The current difference is 1 degree. The effectiveness of a decentralized management system relies on comprehending the departmental rules, incentives, and expectations that influence planning and budgeting. Managerial accounting plays a crucial role in broadening the understanding of planning, budgeting, and control processes by disseminating management information and financial data. Table 11.2.11.3 displays common cost categories seen in managerial accounting. Several of these categories function with contrasting pairs, such as product and period costs, investment and replacement costs, and sunk and out-of-pocket costs. Managerial accounting offers data to external users to aid in decision-making about resource development and program opportunity exploitation. Managerial accounting reports include both financial and non-monetary data, such as the number of employees, working hours, materials used, and travel purposes. Managerial accounting involves assessing and strategizing for both current and future cost centers.

Table 11.2

Exploration and creativity are promoted by the knowledge shared.
Data is analyzed for strategic planning and decision-making to enhance alignment with financial management.
Operating units become more cost-conscious by pinpointing cost centers and adhering to performance criteria.
Cost analysis helps connect management control, program budgeting, and performance audits.
Cost estimation is primarily focused on planning and control rather than financial reporting.
Expenses are observed to assess their suitability for the tasks carried out.
Performance standards are included in conventional control methods to evaluate adherence to laws and financial accounting.
Integrating financial data is beneficial for both internal and external reporting requirements.

Table 11.3

Engineering costs are expenses that directly correlate with a particular measure of activity. Variable costs are most appropriately categorized in this classification. Primary examples include labor expenditures and direct material expenses. At the start of a budget period, management establishes discrete costs, which are fixed expenses with predetermined amounts. Examples encompass research and development, advertising, training initiatives, and employee childcare services. Committed costs are fixed costs associated with the physical work environment and the organization's

equipment. Examples include depreciation, rent, property taxes, and insurance. The salaries of key workers might be classified as fixed costs. These expenses are typically difficult to decrease without impacting the capacity to accomplish long-term objectives.

Product expenses are first established during the stock-inventory process. These become expenses only upon the sale of the inventory. Term costs are subtracted as expenses within a specific fiscal term without being previously categorized as product costs. (e.g., typical overhead expenses). Out-of-pocket costs refer to expenses incurred as a consequence of certain choices, either immediately or in the future.

Sunk costs are expenses that have already been spent and are not considered in the current decision-making process. Accruing expenses through the use of amortization tables exemplifies buried costs. Marginal costs indicate the expenses incurred to produce one more unit of a service or product beyond a certain level of activity. For instance, consider the expenses associated with extending the library's operating hours by one hour every evening.

Differential costs are the variations in overall costs between various methods of producing specific items or services.

Opportunity costs refer to the highest potential gain that could have been achieved if resources were allocated to a different option, representing the consequences of selecting one opportunity over another.

The charity incurs associated expenditures when utilizing programs or services. The costs incurred by people who travel with a public health professional are one example.

Investment costs are mostly influenced by the scale of a certain program or project rather than its length.

Recurrence costs are the variable operating expenses associated with maintenance and repair, which depend on the scale and length of the program. They consist of salary, equipment upkeep, and materials and supplies.

Life cycle costs encompass all expenses incurred throughout the operational lifespan of a product or program, including investment, research and development, operating costs, maintenance, and repair expenses.

.

Public firms typically must adhere more to external legal standards than their internal accounting systems. For instance, a state institution must use an accounting system that fulfills the government's financial reporting requirements. An accounting system should report income and costs using cash-basis accounting at the conclusion of the fiscal year. Although receiving external funding, sponsored research initiatives within the faculty do not use cash transactions and do not follow the annual fiscal cycle of the state accounting system. The sponsored programs should account for around one-third of the university's overall financial resources and should have diverse and complex criteria for the state accounting system. Managerial accounting strategies facilitate the conversion of data from the state's accounting system into formats that align with sponsor criteria. Local governments may need to transfer funds if programs are funded by federal grants, private sources, or if projects start at a different time than the beginning of the fiscal year.

1.1 APPROXIMATE METHODS OF COST ESTIMATION

A cost estimate entails identifying consistent connections between a dependent variable (cost) and an independent variable (activity). Analyzing the behavior of the independent variable can predict costs. The cost function is defined by the formula: y = a + bx, where y is the dependent variable (cost), x is the independent variable, and a and b are estimated values of the unknown true parameters. The fixed costs amount to $30, whereas the variable costs can be determined as $1 per child.

Approximate cost estimates in practice rely on three primary assumptions.

(1) Linear cost functions are applicable in analyzing non-linear scenarios; (2) Costs can be classified as fixed or variable within a specific range. (3) A single independent variable can accurately describe the true cost behavior. This strategy assumes the challenges associated with fluctuating price levels, productivity, and technological advancements. The analytical objective is to estimate a coefficient (b) that represents the increase in y for each unit increment in x, together with a parameter (a), which is the value of y when x = 0. The analyst can assess the validity of the formula by utilizing various techniques, such as diagrams and regression analysis, to confirm the accuracy of the cost function and the reliability of the relationship. Four primary types of cost functions are proposed based on the prior conversation about fixed and variable costs.

1. Total fixed expenses are constant regardless of the changes in x. y = a when b = 0.

2. When a = 0, the equation y = bx can represent a variable cost that is directly proportional to x.

A scaled (or semifixed) cost function is non-linear because of its discontinuities: y' = a', y'' = a'', y''' = a'', and so forth.

A mixed or semi-variable cost is a cost that has both fixed and variable components. This indicates that the overall cost fluctuates when x changes within a specific range, but not in direct correlation with it.

The equation is y = a + bx.

The initial three cost functions exhibit a reasonably linear and straightforward nature for resolution. The scenario involving mixed costs is both prevalent and challenging. The fixed component of the mixed cost often involves establishing the initial capacity. The variable portion is utilizing this capability. For instance, the monthly rent in the case of a photocopier would be the fixed cost, and the quantity of copies made would be the variable cost. The overhead costs should ideally be split into two sub-accounts: one for the variable portion and the other for the fixed portion. However, in reality, these distinctions are seldom made because of the challenge of consistently categorizing cost data into fixed and variable categories on a daily basis. If these distinctions were feasible, the benefits would not justify the expenses and labor.

Various fundamental techniques are utilized to roughly estimate cost functions, with five relevant methods listed in Table 11.4.

Analytical or industrial engineering approaches entail a methodical evaluation of work, materials, supplies, support services, and facilities. This may include utilizing time-and-motion studies to establish visible input-output connections. Accounting analysis involves categorizing relevant accounts as fixed or variable expenses based on the behavior of total costs throughout various fiscal periods.

High-low approaches include estimating total expenditures based on two different levels of activity, typically at the lowest and highest points within the specified range. Divide the change in the dependent variable by the change in the independent variable, denoted as b, to estimate the slope of the line.

The visual-fit method involves drawing a straight line connecting cost elements on a diagram that represent various expenditures at different activity levels. Regression approaches include quantifying the average change in a variable when another variable increases by one unit or more.

These strategies are not mutually exclusive and are frequently utilized to offer indirect feedback control. Regardless of the approach taken to create cost estimates, it is crucial for managerial accounting to offer accurate and dependable predictions. Cost estimates have a substantial influence on many operational decisions and are utilized for planning, budgeting, and management. Classifying expenses into variable and fixed categories, as well as into engineering, discrete, and committed categories, helps identify the primary variables affecting costs. Cost functions simplify actual relationships, and their usage depends on the sensitivity of managerial actions to the repercussions of these simplifications. Additional precision may be inconsequential in certain scenarios but crucial in others. Choosing a cost function typically involves balancing between cost and information value.

1.2 RESPONSIBILITY AND COST CENTERS

Responsibility accounting is created to meet the requirement for management information at a more detailed level than what financial accounting methods offer. Responsibility accounting is to present actual performance results in a manner that allows for the identification of substantial deviations from planned performance, the determination of causes for deviations, the identification of responsibility, and prompt corrective actions.

This strategy involves recording expenditures and revenues in distinct organizational units, such as departments, offices, and programs, which are designated as responsibility centers. Within the private sector, these centers might manifest in various forms:
1. Cost centers are the smallest unit of activity or responsibility where expenses are accumulated.

Profit centers, sometimes known as divisions, are company units accountable for generating revenues and managing expenses.

Investment centers, similar to profit centers, oversee both revenue and costs, as well as associated capital investments.

Cost centers are the most prevalent unit for liability accounting outside of major organizations. Cost center and responsibility are frequently used interchangeably. Responsibility accounting focuses on particular expenditures associated with clearly defined areas of responsibility. Managers frequently deal with the consequences of decisions made by those who held their position before them. A specific manager is in charge of managing some costs with long-term effects, such as depreciation and long-term rent. The most prominent models in the private sector focus on profits, such as profit percentage (profit/sales), return on investment (profit/initial investment), and residual income (profit minus capital costs deduction). Profits are seldom a relevant metric at the cost center level. Performance is often assessed by comparing real expenses to a predetermined budget. A variance is the discrepancy between the planned amount and the actual cost incurred for a specific activity within a specified timeframe. Variance can

be either positive (under budget) or negative (above budget). Performance data is used for management purposes separately from budget and control accounts. This performance reporting method is utilized to justify resource requests and to assess the cost and progress of work in situations where activities are standard and recurring. This strategy involves identifying work units and measuring changes in the amount or quality of these units to analyze budgetary needs.

Testing the impact of various service levels and evaluating scale adjustments for client groups can be conducted. This model operates under the premise that certain fixed expenses remain consistent regardless of the service level, while other variable costs fluctuate based on the service level or client group size. One can calculate the marginal costs for each extra service given using this method. Using the correct budget line helps transform these expenses into overall cost projections. Variance analysis, budget outcomes, and other methods of liability accounting are generally independent of the initial plan. When seen in a good light, they can offer managers valuable tools for enhancing future judgments. They can also assist in assigning accountability for decisions to lower levels within the business.

These tactics are frequently misapplied as instruments for negative management, such as fault-finding or reprimanding. This is primarily due to a lack of comprehension regarding the logic behind proper accounting. Irresponsibility is prevalent in numerous huge businesses. This propensity is reduced when responsibilities are clearly specified. It is important to strike a balance between a precise and cautious definition of responsibility. Activities can have "cracks" when accountability is narrowly defined, especially when multiple activities are interrelated. In these situations, responsibility should not be assigned to a low level but should be kept at a level that allows for collaboration between the units involved in carrying out the operations effectively.

1.3 RESPONSIBLE MANAGEMENT CENTERS.

The principles and procedures of responsibility accounting and activity-based costing (ABC) have been integrated to form the Responsibility Center of Management (RCM). Under the standard fund accounting technique, operating units are accountable for managing their direct costs. A narrower definition of direct expenses results in a higher total of indirect costs. RCM states that a set of centers are primarily responsible for managing resources and the allocation of expenses. These units are typically comparable to current organizational units.

The RCM ensures that all financial support sources are allocated to the appropriate centers in a sustainable manner. All expenses, both direct and indirect, are allocated to each accountable department. Costs related to internal service units are either billed to the responsible centers through a "fee for service" model or funded by the responsible centers through some kind of tax. Conventional cost accounting methods utilize direct cost components such as labor hours, machine hours, and material values to allocate service unit costs as overhead.

Distribution variables typically vary in direct proportion to the volume of goods or services produced. According to Cooper and Kaplan, this strategy has produced unexpected results because volume-related allocation considerations shouldn't have an impact on specific costs that are a result of the activities performed to assist departments. 7

Cooper and Kaplan's ABC model allocates costs to activities, which are the processes or procedures that drive work within the business. Cost management and control might be directed towards identifying the sources of costs rather than focusing on costs that have already been incurred or reported. Managers can learn to detect and remove waste by concentrating on the fundamental cause of costs rather than

addressing symptoms. Costs must be allocated to activities based on the resources used and the purposes for which they are used, using classic cost accounting principles. Incurred expenses may consist of either real or projected costs. Some costs are directly attributable to an activity, like labor costs, while others need to be allocated, such as electricity costs or rent. Support expenses or service units are initially assigned to the relevant cost centers (CSC) and subsequently apportioned to the suitable activities. The volume of each output from the activity should be quantified either as the real volume or as an estimated volume (referred to as output measurement). The total expenses of each activity are split to find the average cost per unit of output. The costs of each activity are distributed across the centers of responsibility or activity, which are groups or activities with a shared goal. Performance measurements are identified to assess the outcomes of an activity or responsibility center.

The ABC approach is intricate and necessitates extra time and effort to ascertain the characteristics of indirect expenses. It is often ambiguous whether the discrepancies in outcomes were achieved through the ABC technique rather than conventional approaches. The complex ABC approach is suitable for cost systems used to calculate commissions, pricing, or evaluate the performance of certain activity centers or indirect cost centers.

MULTIPURPOSE ACCOUNTING SYSTEM

The expense budget object and accounting classification, with their detailed object numbers and subobject codes, provide two clear advantages over accounting systems, as mentioned before. (1) Accounting is a controllable aspect of an account. (2) Management personnel information allows for controlling personnel requests, which in turn can be utilized to manage the overall budget. These two characteristics have sustained the cost-object bundle for over 80 years. Recent attempts to create financial data tailored for managerial purposes have uncovered certain characteristics that conflict with other goals.

3.1 CROSSWALKS DATA

The budget allocation for the Financial Management Department of the City of Rurbana exemplifies a versatile accounting system based on two fundamental properties of expense classification objects. The Department of Finance Management has five agencies: the City Treasurer's Office, the Accounting Division, the Budgeting Division, the Data Processing Section, and the Purchasing Office. Rurbana has implemented program budgeting experimentally to enhance funding and accounting operations. The Finance Management Department has identified four primary programs: cash and debt management, budgeting, financial and managerial accounting, and inventory storage. Since these programs impact the organizational structures of five agencies, the spending data needs to be transferred to generate an accounting summary based on each program. This type of transition requires a change in data classification systems, such as moving from expenditure items to programs or vice versa. The goal is to record expenses incurred by the agency and the program. During the budgeting phase, an initial distribution is allocated and divided to reflect the budget administration. Table 11.5 displays the budget allocations for the various departments of the five agencies.

Table 11.5 Budget Allocation by Agencies

	The treasury of city	Split of BUDGET	ACCOUNT	The processing of to the data	PURCHASES	Total
Services of personnel	$105,232	$176,164	$152,389	$198,421	$95,319	$727,525
Overtime	2,301	1,330	4,104	3,433	1,922	13,090
benefit	21,046	35,233	30,478	39,684	19,064	145,505
services contracted	7,310	12,240	10,600	175,610	6,630	212,390
Supplies and MATERIALS	10,071	16,851	14,597	18,986	9,120	69,625
Equipment	0	0	2,240	24,000	0	26,240
Current liabilities	2,750	3,421	2,960	3,850	1,844	14,825
Total	**148,710**	**245,239**	**217,368**	**463,984**	**133,899**	**1,209,200**

Table 11.6 Allocation of the Budget according to Programs

	Management of cash&	Split of BUDGET	ACCOUNT	Procurements	Total
Service of personnel	$163,300	$202,467	$208,143	$153,615	$727,525
Overtime	3,872	2,188	4,250	2,780	13,090
Benefit	32,660	40,493	41,629	30,723	145,505
services Contracted	52,969	57,899	56,259	45,263	212,390
Supplies and MATERIALS	15,701	19,262	19,960	14,702	69,625
Equipment	6,240	6,240	8,480	5,280	26,240
Current liabilities	3,343	4,101	4,250	3,131	14,825
Total	**278,085**	**332,651**	**342,969**	**255,494**	**1,209,200**

4. SUMMARY FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

Financial accounting focuses on the precise and objective documentation of historical financial transactions. Managerial and cost accounting aim to provide information to enhance financial management decisions.

This topic centers on cost accounting strategies within the fundamental aspects of managerial accounting. The five fundamental cost components in an activity or program are labor (people) and contractual services. 3) materials and supplies; 4) equipment costs; and 5) SHPP, or indirect charges. Various accounting methods should be employed to accurately document expenses. These methods are primarily incorporated into cost accounting procedures.

Determine the entire cost of a service or product by allocating costs based on their variable, fixed, direct, and indirect components. The fixed costs of a project remain stable when activity volume grows, resulting in a decrease in these costs per unit. Variable costs per unit remain constant, while total variable costs rise when the level of activity increases. An indirect cost is linked to multiple activities or programs and cannot be attributed directly to any single action. One crucial aspect of cost management is analyzing how expenses behave in varying circumstances. This approach, known as approximate estimating or cost estimation, entails identifying consistent correlations (functional costs) between a dependent variable (cost) and one or more independent variables (organizational activities).

This chapter covered specialized techniques for estimating cost functions approximately and determining the most dependable regression procedure.

Responsibility accounting aims to identify the accounting practices in certain areas of a business where daily control can be applied to the expenses involved, such as cost centers and areas of responsibility. Controllable costs are costs that the management of a certain cost center can influence within a specific period, and they are crucial in responsibility accounting. Emphasizing controllable costs and budgeted results makes responsible accounting a valuable element of financial management. ABC approaches aim to allocate costs, especially those related to SHPP, more accurately by identifying cost drivers for activities. The ABC technique provides a more precise depiction of indirect cost characteristics compared to substitute metrics like direct labor hours or direct material costs. The management of financial resources from external sources, whether direct or indirect, to fulfill an organization's aims and objectives is the primary task under the management of responsibility, which is delegated to several departments. Internal service units are funded either through a "fee for service" model or by some type of assessment. An all-encompassing accounting system was demonstrated to illustrate the flow of accounting data among agencies and programs to offer essential management information for making cost allocation and cost control choices throughout the fiscal year. An assessment of the program's activities' overall effectiveness.

References:

1. Factors That Determine the Success of Manufacturing Firms: Empirical Evidence from Kosovo. (2022, January 1). *Quality - Access to Success*, *23*(191). https://doi.org/10.47750/qas/23.191.23

2. Impact Of Remittances on Kosovo’s Economic Development and Poverty Reduction. (2023, January 1). *Quality - Access to Success*, *24*(195). https://doi.org/10.47750/qas/24.195.41

3. Taxes as a source of public monetary income in the Republic of Kosovo. (2023, January 1). *Quality*

- *Access to Success*, *24*(195). https://doi.org/10.47750/qas/24.195.09

4. Application Of Econometrics and Economic Models. (2023, August 1). *Quality-Access to Success*, *24*(196). https://doi.org/10.47750/qas/24.196.39

5. *Promotional activities in the enterprise*. (2024, February 9). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10637326

6. *The Role of Managers in the Gastronomy Field Amidst the Pandemic*. (2024, February 9). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10637319

7. *Assessing and Appraising the Performance of Banks*. (2024, February 9). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10637307

8. *International Financial System and Monetary Policy*. (2024, February 9). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10637283

9. *Monopolies and Transition in Kosovo*. (2024, February 9). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10637232

10. *Vol. 1 No. 1 (2024): Ege Scholar Journal | Ege Scholar Journal*. (n.d.). https://egebook.net/index.php/pub/issue/view/1

11. Demski, J. S., & Kreps, D. M. (1982). Models in Managerial Accounting. *Journal of Accounting Research*, *20*, 117. https://doi.org/10.2307/2674678

12. Hall, M. (2010, April). Accounting information and managerial work. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, *35*(3), 301–315. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2009.09.003

13. Harris, M. (1982). Discussion of Models in Managerial Accounting. *Journal of Accounting Research*, *20*, 149. https://doi.org/10.2307/2674679

14. Kanodia, C. (1982). Discussion of Models in Managerial Accounting. *Journal of Accounting Research*, *20*, 153. https://doi.org/10.2307/2674680

15. Thomson, L. (1988, April). The managerial accounting quizmaster. *The British Accounting Review*, *20*(1), 91–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/0890-8389(88)90121-7

16. Stout, D. E., & Juras, P. E. (2009, May 1). Instructional Case: Estimating Learning-Curve Functions for Managerial Planning, Control, and Decision-Making. *Issues in Accounting Education*, *24*(2), 195–217. https://doi.org/10.2308/iace.2009.24.2.195

17. Stout, D. E., & Juras, P. E. (2009, May 1). Instructional Case: Estimating Learning-Curve Functions for Managerial Planning, Control, and Decision-Making. *Issues in Accounting Education*, *24*(2), 195–217. https://doi.org/10.2308/iace.2009.24.2.195

18. Tai, S. (1990, August 1). Managerial Accounting and the "New Managerial Ideas" – A Case Study of the Matsushita Electric Industrial Co. Ltd. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, *3*(2). https://doi.org/10.1108/eum0000000001928

19. Wilson, R. M. (1989, December). Managerial accounting. *The British Accounting Review*, *21*(4), 395–396. https://doi.org/10.1016/0890-8389(89)90042-5

20. Journal of Financial Reporting & Accounting. (2010, April 20). *Managerial Auditing Journal*, *25*(4). https://doi.org/10.1108/maj.2010.05125daa.001

21. Piper, J. (1988, December). Advanced managerial accounting. *The British Accounting Review*, *20*(3), 307. https://doi.org/10.1016/0890-8389(88)90090-x

22. Melatnebar, B. (2021, July 1). Pengkreditan Pajak Penghasilan Pasal 24 Sebagai Perencanaan Pajak Yang Efektif. *Jurnal Akuntansi Manajerial (Managerial Accounting Journal)*, *6*(1), 47–70. https://doi.org/10.52447/jam.v6i1.4593

23. Svirko, S., & Trosteniuk, T. (2019, February 28). FUNCTIONS, TASKS, ELEMENTS AND PRINCIPLES OF MANAGERIAL ACCOUNTING AT PUBLIC HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS. *Ekonomika Ta Derzhava*, *2*, 41. https://doi.org/10.32702/2306-6806.2019.2.41