TACJE

TRANSNATIONAL ACADEMIC JOURNAL OF ECONOMICS

Transnational Academic Journal of Economics, Year: 2024, Volume: 1, Issue: 2, Pages : 125-137 ***Research Article***

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.10884153

Publication Date: 03.30.2024

Burim Isa Berisha[1]

1. Institute KAF Prishtin Kosovo

Strategic Human Resources Management

Abstract

A primary premise of the investment perspective of this text is that investments in human resources can provide a sustainable source of competitive advantage and can raise the likelihood of successful implementation of the firm's strategy. Because of these benefits, investments in human capital have the potential to yield attractive rates of return for the firm's stockholders. The process of investing in human resources extends beyond merely hiring and maintaining good people, while those are the essentials. Investments in human resources can take numerous forms. They can be rather basic, like in the instance of training personnel in order to boost their production. Investments in human resources can take several forms, such as, for example, a strategy of attractive compensation that helps boost the productivity of strong employees and promotes the retention of highly talented staff. Other examples might include investing in improving selection techniques, such as acquiring more valuable selection exams, or increasing the number of job openings publicized. In recent years, HR managers have been particularly interested in empirical evidence on the relationship between various resource management methods and business performance measurements. Senior executives' demands for convincing evidence that their HR departments are improving corporate performance have in many cases fueled this interest. This section will evaluate the influence of individual HR practices and systems of such practices by analyzing some of the studies on this topic. Most of the research papers in this area are empirical, and we employ statistical approaches to compensate for other elements that may affect organizational work. In certain non-empirical publications, reports have been made of conclusions from several observations and are also included in the debates.

Keywords: Managers, influences, discussions, management, performance

How to cite: Seadin X, Mislim Z. (2024). Strategic Human Resources Management. *Transnational Academic Journal of Economics, 1*(1), 125-137.

Introduction

A lot of researchers have identified many high-performance methods or best practices. One of the most notable champions of these universal best practices is Professor Jeffrey Pfeffer of Stanford University. Pfeffer has covered these recommended approaches in two book treatments: Competitive Advantage Through the People-People Equation: Building Profits by Putting People First. The first book has identified 16 best practices, whereas the second has some winning lists aggregated up to seven. Pfeffer's research demonstrates that these seven management approaches are practically ubiquitous in their capacity to boost business performance, as follows:

1. Security of employment.
2. Selective employment of new workers.

3. Self-managed teams and decentralization of decision-making as essential concepts of organizational design
4. Relatively high salary and possible organizational performance.
5. Dense or frequent training
6. Reduced status differences and barriers, including attire, language, office layouts, and income differences at all levels.
7. Multiple sharing of financial and performance information within the organization.

Empirical data on the consequences of these performances and some other human resource strategies will be presented in this part. Research results on such practices if they genuinely have a positive influence on various metrics of the firm will be provided by the main categories of individual practices.

Compensation

Despite the importance of financial remuneration and incentives for individuals and organizations, there has been a remarkable paucity of good research on performance consequences. Additionally, traditional remuneration systems have come under these criticisms: Today's employees are expected to operate in teams rather than alone. They are expected to master new talents and take on bigger duties. They are expected to take more risks and take responsibility for the results. As a result, we are progressively discovering that we may be paying for the wrong things, sending messages adverse to the firm regarding its personnel, or establishing fake expectations of continuing advancement, regardless of strong performance, for the organization. Some experts have suggested that there has been just one good study per year on the topic in more than 40 years. 3 Another constraint has also hindered our understanding of remuneration as well as other aspects of management. This issue has been the difficulty of synthesizing results from different investigations in order to establish solid judgments regarding their aggregate findings. Fortunately, the process of meta-analysis has matured and now allows researchers to draw strong conclusions from complete empirical evaluations of the literature. A recent meta-analysis was able to achieve numerous conclusive results about the influence of financial incentives on performance. In addition, it rejected the arguments of certain experts that financial incentives serve to eliminate inner motivation.

Our meta-analysis investigated whether the association between financial incentives and performance was higher for unusual tasks (i.e., humiliating and boring) than for difficult and exciting ones. Apparently, if financial incentives diminish intrinsic motivation, we would like to uncover those that are poorly valued in connection to intrinsic task performance. The data suggests otherwise. It doesn't matter what kind of work people are performing—to enhance performance. . . Taken together, then, the results are clear—financial incentives boost the quantity of effort, and they do not destroy intrinsic motivation.

More seasoned executives who are compensation specialists with the Hay Group have come to a similar conclusion: Deming is right in collecting data on the virtues of intrinsic motivation. Indeed, many firms have stifled this component of performance, and they have often done so through exaggerated pay and performance management tactics, as well as poor oversight and bureaucratic administrative practices. But to declare that all unusual awards for this reason are terrible is to lose points. The fact is, a well-designed program with full remuneration that is totally appropriately connected with the organization's values and culture does wonders for self-respect and a drive to learn, not to mention performance.

This extract indicates that, in addition to the potential of compensation systems to complement other forms of incentive, they tend to operate better in organizational circumstances, such as culture, that have been taken into account. As with the individual approaches that have been covered, a number of circumstances will be emphasized that may be crucial to consider before establishing even more powerful potential "universal" best practices.

High compensation associated with organizational work

As with many pay issues, there are diverse opinions on high remuneration, as well as making it based on organizational performance. Professor Jeffrey Pfeffer has claimed that it is ridiculous for some companies to say that their people are the basis of their competitive edge while they pay their staff simply the market average. He argues that home depots have survived because of their excellent labor and are maintained by paying relatively high rates in a low-wage business. Unfortunately, while there is little anecdotal evidence to support this argument, there are some empirical studies on the impact of high salaries other than those that focus on low wages as a cause of indirect circulation, which negatively impacts the work of the firm.

However, there is abundant research on the impact of contingent remuneration. A different opinion is supplied by the Vice President of People at Southwest Airlines, who claims that her firm does not believe in the fiction of compensation plans. It is mentioned that the company is 85 percent unionized; she notes that these employees are paid on a time basis and in the same ranks as all employees in the Southwest, profit sharing and receiving. Thus, most of the compensation is unconnected to employment. On the other hand, the remaining component is tied to the collective work of all employees in this top-performing organization. The example of Southwest Airlines does not give sufficient proof that contingent compensation is a general good practice because its salaries are related to seniority and its contingent (profit-sharing) remuneration is just a high-profile component of its organizational culture. Stock options and profit sharing are described below in a separate section, which highlights the availability of various tools to create part of the contingent compensation in the firm's work. Many big corporations, such as Microsoft, Bank of America, Intel, Owens Corning, and Starbucks, have established stock option plans for all kinds of employees, such as those with good performance. It has been said that such kinds of remuneration have contributed value by (1) helping to recruit and retain workers, (2) organizational building commitment, (3) enhanced skills and knowledge acquisition, and (4) motivating behaviors in keeping with the company's strategic objectives. Thus, for broad-based equity opportunities to have an impact on performance, they must make a positive contribution in at least one of these four ways. The literature suggests that broad-based stock options cannot offer all the benefits that their proponents have claimed. For example, there is insufficient scientific evidence to support the effectiveness of broad-based stock options in attracting new employees. Also, stock options are probably not universally effective because there are various elements that determine the effects. As an example of their unique relevance, it has been noted that stock options for improved performance have employees who are content with the risk of the job. Companies like Microsoft use stock options to attract employees. Stock options can also aid with retention or preservation since their impact can be boosted by utilizing timetable conditioning that does not enable opportunities to exercise for employees who have stayed with the company for a number of predetermined years. A review of the literature demonstrates various other elements determining the performance effects of stock options. For example, organizational culture is demonstrated to have an effective interaction with stock options. It has been shown that a combination of participative organizational culture and stock options represents the fastest growth rates, whereas no one delivers such outcomes alone. Productivity effects also present a lag in the implementation of stock market alternatives because three or four years are needed before employees establish a commitment to cooperative work practices. Stock prospects are also better identified in smaller companies. In addition, workers must be informed in advance about the stock options that would influence them to act as owners of the company and to adapt their behavior to the company's aims. Information must be provided to employees, and they must be trained so that they can grasp the financial metrics and have knowledge about the financial management of the organization. Employees must also be able to participate in decision-making and grasp the aims of the organization. A robust stock market is definitely important.

Michael Beer has provided additional advice regarding the elements that must be present before compensation can be contingent and can be expected to have a favorable impact on business performance. His critical views also questioned whether partial compensation is a universal practice. good: - Similarly, doesn't it make sense for a company to require its HR and management leaders to come in to dedicate considerable and precious time and attention to the administration of payroll connected with part-time compensation systems? Despite the hyperbole, these systems probably do not contribute much to the effectiveness of organizations. Schemes that identify only extremes and pay on a variable hourly basis are effective displays and much easier and less expensive to operate. It suffices to state that some of the most successful organizations in the world have no executive bonus or commission schemes, for example. They have illogical core team cultures. It seems that compensation systems can not only take a lot of time, but they also do not encourage and can be destructive to creating the core cultures the team desires to develop.

Incentive based compensation

The previous explanation of part compensation being tied to the work or performance of the firm is consistent with incentive-based remuneration. However, because incentives may not be tied to organizational success, this sort of remuneration will be explored separately. For example, incentives may include commissions based on sales or individual sales. John Delaney and Mark Huselid explored the subject of the impact of incentive compensation on performance in an examination of data on 590 nonprofit and for-profit companies from the National Survey of companies. The study employed perceptual indicators of organizational performance and contextualized how organizations and others in the industry had done over the prior three years. The study indicated that incentive-based compensation was highly connected to organizational performance across the entire sample of firms. Like prior studies of firm or organizational performance, a number of control variables were employed in the multiple regression analysis.

Sharing of Profit

Research by Gary Florkowski and Kuldeep Shastri studied the influence of shareholder profit sharing on corporate wealth. This study investigated shareholder returns after corporations negotiated profit-sharing programs with their unions. The results of their study of 45 publicly traded corporations suggested that shareholder returns increased after disclosures of such initiatives. Interestingly, the increases were bigger for enterprises that experienced financial challenges prior to settlement negotiations. The results are crucial since the researchers also conclude that the increased returns are not linked to the conclusion of the attacks or the reduced-wage scheme.

The financial impact of profit sharing has also been explored in the banking industry. John Delery and Harold Doty's multiple regression study of data from 192 institutions has been reviewed for prospective effects on some financial performance. The findings of the investigation revealed that the sharing of profit had a favorable influence on return on assets (ROA) and return on equity (ROE). Another study gives further data on the impact of profit sharing. This study analyzed the five-year survival statistics of 183 small nonfinancial enterprises that completed initial public offerings.

The investigation of survival rates included various control variables believed to affect business survival. The researchers employed an organizational variable based on the firm's incentive strategy as well as employee perks for profit sharing and stock options, as well as the share earned and other awards processed. The variable obtained the biggest values with the components and the widest application for the entire workforce. The results showed that the price change was a highly important and favorable predictor of the organization.

Group-based compensation

Team-based compensation has also been studied in a recent study. One study explored the differing impacts of performance-based bonuses on teams. Given that rewards are obtained from team performance, the study sought to determine the impact of bonuses on equity-based distribution (rewards are distributed based on each team member's contribution) versus those distributed on the basis of equity (rewards shared equally among team members). Data were received from 10 organizations for 57 teams with an average of 13 (team size ranged from 4-32). The study employed perceptual measurements of team performance, productivity, and helping behavior.

The results showed that teams were more effective and displayed more assisting behaviors when awards were shared within the team on the basis of equality. When the magnitude of the prizes grew, there was also a considerable rise in team productivity and effectiveness. In addition, there was more satisfaction with team bonuses when members thought that team activity was tied to team bonuses and when they recognized clear goals for the team. While such remuneration policies had a favorable impact on team performance, these results are not provided in Table 5-1 because they did not address the direct impact on the firm's work.

The studies reported in this section provide the basis for these results.

Financial rewards for results are simply one aspect of the compensation picture for teams. Teams are often challenged to do things differently and take risks that may not always achieve the intended results. If compensation is simply related to results, teams will not be driven to take risks. Therefore, organizations such as Motorola compensate teams for desired actions and behaviors. Timely acknowledgment of team members is also crucial. along with other workers. Comprehensive research by Matt Bloom on compensation and performance in baseball has provided considerable insight into the impacts of pay compression and dispersion. The study indicated that substantial dispersion in remuneration across members of a team affects team effectiveness. This research, which evaluated team and individual player performance and remuneration for 1,644 players over nine years, produced the following results: The results show tighter pay dispersion and are favorably connected to several indicators of individual and organizational performance. The results of this study suggest that higher dispersion in remuneration within an organization is associated with poorer individual and group work, at least when the interdependence of labor is essential.

Diversity

Research on the creativity of ethnic groups has indicated that diversity is positively associated with creativity. Accordingly, she has been assured that innovation with greater productivity from a varied staff can have a good impact on firm performance if appropriately managed. While this is a beneficial strategy to select people who will fit well with the organization, this technique can result in dysfunctional homogeneity if taken to an extreme. With severe uniformity, organizations can become less able to adjust to changing conditions and less flexible. Recent research has addressed this statement by assessing the employment goals of affirmative action during economic downturns. This research indicated that the largest enterprises placed a specific priority on recruiting such that they had higher financial performance than other firms, as assessed by shareholder return, two years following the economic recovery. Particular attention in the employment for affirmative purposes was the action related to the remaining variable of financial performance. As with prior studies of the performance of the firm, a wide collection of control variables were included. It is not surprising that the impacts of increasing diversity do not result in instant performance because time is essential for the benefits of variety to appear with enhanced performance. Speculative interpretations are that such hiring for affirmative action goals offers extra benefits of growth. in the firm's reputation among women and minorities, as well as minimizing the possibility of legal procedures connected to costs. Another study studied the performance impact of cultural diversity in the overseas operations of 442 Fortune 500 firms. The cultural connection of international diversity is believed to have a positive impact on business performance.

An example in which favorable impacts are envisaged is the just-in-time usage of inventory management systems in technology-compliant societies, such as Japan. In Japan, there is no assessment of the efficient use of space. or devotion to the task-oriented group. Another example in which favorable impacts are envisaged is by organizing joint marketing initiatives such as those between the United States and Canada, compared to the United States and Indonesia. . Cultural diversity without global links is predicted to have a detrimental outcome. For example, as firms diversify with the development of business units in nations with diverse cultures, there are greater opportunities for misunderstandings, and communication challenges arise. With "cultural distances" across processes, there is a need for more complicated control systems and consequently high transaction costs.

In the study of employment in a longitudinal design, performance was measured by return on assets

(ROA) and market-to-book value (MTB). Changes in these performance metrics for different periods of time were evaluated with regression equations considering the control of several variables. However, in contrast to expectations, the study showed no impact on performance for ROA or MTB derived from several indicators of cultural variety. Stated optimistically, these results may suggest that corporations overcome the factors that will create diminishing profits and deal with increasingly varied surroundings. A speculative interpretation has emerged that cultural variety promotes enhanced invention and creativity, enabling organizations to become better at marketing to varied consumers. This is a difficult subject that will need further investigation in the future before the impacts are fully understood. However, for organizations that grasp the relationship, there is huge potential for financial gains.

The employment

Countercyclical Jobs

Research has also explored the impact of major staff hiring practices during economic downturns. The practice is obviously limited because the firm is hiring while there is a downturn. However, corporations can acquire deals on essential personnel that may be necessary to pursue a strategy that will yield big returns following a recovery. For example, the firm may hire the most significant research and development people on a one-to-one basis, while demand for their skills from other organizations is minimal. A recent study analyzed the impact of this approach on the returns. of shareholders. The study employed a measure of managers' assessments of the extent to which their organizations engaged in such activities during the recent economic slump. Multiple regressions of employment on a number of control factors indicated that shareholder return two years after the downturn was positively connected to the countercyclical employment rate.

Trimming

Downsizing has been the topic of a considerable amount of normative discussion in both the popular press and the academic literature. A shortcoming in this work has been its failure to address the financial impact of downsizing. However, one thorough study has evaluated the consequences of tapering. This study investigated 5,479 downsizing incidents over a 15-year period. The study studied the influence of downsizing on business profitability (ROA) as well as shareholder return. Interestingly, the study indicated that shrinking enterprises did not receive returns greater than the average for firms in their industries. However, enterprises that implemented a combined downsizing method with a merger and restructuring of their assets (plant and equipment) had considerably higher returns on assets two years later than other firms. More strikingly, after correcting for industry, those firms merged employment and assets, which greatly lowered ROAS, as well as downsized enterprises. These results highlight the need for coordinated approaches in which downsizing is complementary to the firm's overall strategy, such as focus or downsizing, in which buildings and equipment are decreased. Another study indicated detrimental impacts from announcing temporary staff reductions. The results of the study demonstrated that temporary staff cutbacks produced declines in shareholder returns. The researchers emphasized that temporary cuts, which convey a positive message about low compensation costs, communicate bad news regarding product demand, which confounds these results.

Early retirement programs

In the 1980s and 1990s, early retirement offers were a regular fixture in the business press, although there was little data about the financial effects of such activities. Fortunately, a study has experimentally studied the effects of early retirement policies on shareholder wealth. This study, which studied 51 announcements of early retirement schemes, concluded that the effects relied on the firm's performance prior to the announcement. For those firms with deteriorating shareholder returns during the year preceding the announcement, there was no association with the announcement of early retirement plans. For those corporations with good returns, the news had a beneficial influence on shareholder returns. A possible explanation for the substantial results is that "proactive downsizing occurs when a firm is doing well and may signal a reorientation of personnel that goes beyond a single defensive response through cost cutting."

Security of employment

Employment security procedures aim to maintain the firm's investment in its human resources. They also provide the stability needed to unleash staff innovation for the benefit of the organization. It has been argued that employees who are more secure in their positions are more willing to apply their knowledge to boost the firm's production. However, there are limitations to such procedures, and an inverted U-shaped link between job security and work intensity was noted earlier. A previously mentioned study by Delery and Doty addressed the problem of job security impacts. Their analysis of banks indicated that job security procedures were positively associated with ROA. Unfortunately, even the best-managed organizations were forced to jettison their job security procedures in the 1980s and 1990s. However, those organizations that do offer value or many job security rights may have a favorable return. Interestingly, Southwest Airlines, one of the finest operating firms for years and which operates in a tumultuous industry, has never had a suspension.

External sources of human resources

Human resource departments have outsourced much of their activities during the past few years. Indeed, recent studies have indicated that up to 91 percent of respondents report that their organizations outsource some of their HR services. There are a number of theories for this tendency, and some are linked to growing demands for more work. Cost reduction and increased efficiency are typically claimed as benefits of outsourcing. However, doctors are quick to warn that when the transfer is customized merely for cost reduction, the result is often disappointing. Sustainable competitive advantages result from outsourcing when it allows human resource departments to focus on value-added activities and when outsourcing serves to support the firm's strategic direction. For example, by outsourcing employee duties, the human resources department can reallocate its senior resources to high-value activities such as performance management programs. While there is limited empirical information on the impact of human resource outsourcing, a recent study of small manufacturing enterprises indicated that human resource outsourcing can add to numerous metrics of firm performance. Although subjective financial performance metrics are utilized, they are also related to objective financial data. The study indicated that outsourcing had an indirect but favorable impact on subjective evaluations of financial success and innovation. The discovery that firm strategies temper the link between outsourcing and firm performance gives support for the more predictable notion that human resource methods are more effective in some circumstances.

Industrial relations

Decertification of the Union of Agents Agreement

While employers are legally barred from pushing employee initiatives to decertify their unions, the nature of labor and environmental interactions can be expected to have some impact on such moves. Thus, company practices and the nature of the labor relations climate have the ability to indirectly impact decertified acts. Therefore, decertified options are included in this assessment of the effects of human resource procedures. A recent study analyzed the effect on shareholder returns of 153 elections for decertified unions. Potential investors are presumed to predict that they will allocate the firm's union earnings toward pay at the expense of shareholder returns. Thus, the decertification of unions as negotiating agents will be expected to have a beneficial influence on shareholder returns. Contrary to this expectation, the study indicated that having union (union) elections yielded higher shareholder returns: According to this analysis, in a straightforward comparison sample, businesses that maintain union representation in decertification cases actually perform better than those that the bargaining agent dumps.

Positive work relations

The method by which corporations handle interactions with their unions and the workers they represent can have a number of implications. Labor relations and such practices can affect staff productivity and financial success. More specifically, it has also been proven in the industrial relations or labor relations literature that trade unionism can have both positive and negative implications for productivity. One interpretation of the effects of unions is that, through their representation through workers in grievance procedures and collective bargaining, the union of employees through various channels expresses their concerns to management regarding working conditions. This means that unions provide a voice mechanism, which in some instances can have a favorable impact on work performance. For example, in instances in which management is indifferent to the concerns of individual workers and there is no union to provide a voice, the only other possibility for disgruntled workers is a response to leave the job for better conditions elsewhere. . Because of the voice mechanism, it has been stated that merged firms have reduced rates and motivate firms to give sensible and professional management. On the other hand, these secondary impacts lead to enhanced production.

Unions can even have a positive impact on productivity in specific labor market situations. This can arise in situations in which the employer has monopoly power in the labor market. When unions fight for greater salaries under such conditions, the economically logical response of employees is to add more capital per worker and to raise the quality of work. On the other hand, secondary effects have the potential to boost productivity. However, unions can bargain for more stringent labor restrictions that lead to higher levels of employment than necessary. Of course, the impacts of such secondary activities can lead to a loss in productivity. A simple review of the influence of labor relations on company productivity is offered as follows: An important conclusion of the noise response model is that productivity is likely to depend on the state of labor relations management in stores. When these interactions are bad, then management is likely to have problems obtaining high production. When relations are good, workers and management may work together for the good of the firm. Three studies have explored the relationship between productivity and the status of industrial relations in factories, and all three have shown considerable support for this proposition. In addition, it might be claimed that, in very exceptional situations, the net effect of trade unionism and strong labor relations can be favorable. This argument is stated below: What unions do to productivity is one of the primary variables in measuring the total impact of unions. New studies reveal that quantitative productivity is generally higher in unionized institutions than in organizations that are not organized, but that the relationship is far from invariable and there are exceptions. High productivity seems to go hand in hand with strong industrial relations and will be pushed by competitiveness in the product market, while for low productivity under unionism, there seem to be opposing circumstances. In view of these findings, most employers undoubtedly do not feel that their firms enjoy better productivity as a result of unionization. Otherwise, they will urge their employees to form unions, and this is definitely not the case with most firms. The impacts of poor labor relations are increasingly obvious. A strike can provide an indication of the quality and impact of labor relations activities. While strikes may not at first appear to be a human resources practice, they may reflect, to some extent, the quality of the firm's labor relations activities or the firm's general management. Of course, unions don't put a tie on strikes, but that doesn't bring out their potential. Moreover, there's probably more than a grain of truth to the old cliché that enterprises that have unions usually deserve them. Indeed, there are abundant examples of corporations that were unionized as a result of the bad treatment of their employees. Thus, it is a qualitative question here whether unionism and subsequent strikes or work stoppages are sometimes a symptom of poor management. However, there are several elements, apart from the effectiveness of the labor relations function in a corporation, that incline a firm toward unionization. For example, the vast majority of automobile manufacturers, railroads, and airlines are unionized, as are numerous public sector organizations such as fire departments and police stations. Even the best-managed firms or departments in industry are likely to be unionized. As previously mentioned, 84 to 85% of employees at Southwest Airlines, which consistently ranks in the top four of Fortune's list of the 100 Best Companies to Work For, are unionized.

STRIKES

The relationship between strikes and the financial performance of affected enterprises has been experimentally explored using shareholder returns. A study has indicated that during somewhat protracted strikes (11 to 29 days), shareholder return tends to increase. On the other hand, after small strikes (1 to 10 days), the financial performance of enterprises tends to drop, and after very long strikes (30 days or more), shareholder returns tend to decline considerably. These statistics provide proof of the common belief that employers win longer strikes (11 to 29 days) and that unions win shorter strikes (1 to 10 days). For very long strikes, financial returns are drastically reduced up to 24 months after the strike, probably because the parties' relationship may have taken on the features of a dead marriage. The recent strike at American Airlines and Boeing, which featured college-educated pilots, engineers, and technicians, was rare in that college-educated, unionized professionals were on strike. In the Boeing strike, there were some difficulties of an economic nature, but some were also related to the impression that the engineers were not treated fairly by the company's management. In addition, a strike and a "sick" by pilots at American Airlines were also related to their perceptions of an unsatisfactory work environment, a confrontational management style by the previous CEO, and perceptions that management has tried to change the ways that have been raised by American Airlines pilots. Although the first strike lasted only a few minutes before it was interrupted by the presidential action in the USA, the second "sickness" was exceedingly costly for the company and for the customers whose travel arrangements were disrupted by the strike. (Airlines are regulated under the Railroad Labor Act of 1926, which has a number of rules that hinder effective dispute settlement.) It is important to evaluate these claims: "In contrast to the American and its lengthy history of strikes, Southwest has never missed an hour of flight time in a labor dispute even though its workforce is unionized in the industry anymore.” It appears logical to conclude that failure to invest in excellent labor relations procedures has implications. unfavorable financial ramifications for firms. While poor labor relations and practices lay the foundation for poor financial success, some friction with unions may be inevitable. In many circumstances, labor challenges such as strikes may not represent the quality of a firm's labor relations practices. In some circumstances, a firm may be struck regardless of the quality of its worker relations. For example, if the firm negotiates or bargains as part of a multi-employer organization, such as in the tanker truck industry, all firms in the association may be harmed if the discussions hit an impasse. In addition, in some situations, the decision to take a strike instead of settling on disadvantageous terms with the union may be an investment in the credibility of the negotiators in the future of management and may be consistent with the quality of labor relations. high. Thus, a corporation that has "taken a strike" may have certain advantages in the future because, when it says no to the union's requests, the union may be convinced that the firm's response is genuine and they may not pursue this problem. Furthermore, although unionization percentages are at historically low levels in the private sector in the United States, recent strikes, such as those at United Parcel Service (UPS), American Airlines, General Motors, Boeing, and Lockheed-Martin, have drawn the attention of management watchers.

Internal labor market

Researchers have also explored the implications for the performance of internal labor markets that ensure promotion from within. The study by Delaney and Huselid, described above, demonstrated through analysis of data from the Survey of National Organizations that promotion from the inside is positively associated with considerably with the perceptual measures of organizational performance. Such promotion strategies are related to labor for the nonprofit organizations in their sample. Interestingly, similar findings are not obtained when non-profit entities are included in the analysis. However, an alternate measure of internal labor markets has been analyzed by the degree of vertical hierarchy as an indicator of degree promotion. While the huge vertical hierarchy is contradictory to the trends in the satisfactory direction of the structure making the decentralization of the decision, this measure was made considerably in relation to the activity of non-profit organizations when they were included in the analysis.

Motivation

Decentralized decision making

A study by Varma gives evidence on the performance effects of decentralized decision-making. Their study studied the influence of a number of high-performance work practices and systems, including delegation of decision-making. The research is based on a study of 39 large enterprises (with an average of 18,000 people) across numerous industries. The researchers referred to delegation as a system as opposed to unique individual actions, but they measured it with only one item. (Delegation was one of several items used to measure organizational culture.) Thus, while delegated decision-making or decentralization is perhaps more complex than simple HR practices such as structured interviews, it will be regarded as a practice individual in this discussion. In addition, the study included perceptual measurements of financial and operational performance.

Reference

1. Youb, A. (2021). Contribution Of Strategic Renewal To Strengthening Human Resources Management Practices. https://doi.org/10.36540/1914-010-002-030

2. Cranston, N. (1994, September 1). Strategic Management in Schools: A New Role for the Principal. *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, *32*(1), 100–109. https://doi.org/10.1177/103841119403200109

3. IMPLEMENTING WELLNESS PROGRAMS IN STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES. (2023). *Journal of Southwest Jiaotong University*, *58*(2). https://doi.org/10.35741/issn.0258-2724.58.2.26

4. Sparrow, P. R., & Pettigrew, A. M. (1987, March). Britain's Training Problems: The Search for a Strategic Human Resources Management Approach. *Human Resource Management*, *26*(1), 109–127. https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.3930260107

5. Kaye, L. (1999, December 1). Strategic human resources management in Australia: the human cost. *International Journal of Manpower*, *20*(8), 577–587. https://doi.org/10.1108/01437729910302750

6. Strategic Human Resources Management and Organizational Effectiveness in Hospitality Settings. (2018, July 1). *American Journal of Management*, *18*(1). https://doi.org/10.33423/ajm.v18i1.301

7. Harpaz, I., & Meshoulam, I. (2010, September). The meaning of work, employment relations, and strategic human resources management in Israel. *Human Resource Management Review*, *20*(3), 212–223. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2009.08.009

8. Stonham, P. (1992, June). Human resources as a strategic weapon. *European Management Journal*, *10*(2), 264–265. https://doi.org/10.1016/0263-2373(92)90076-g

9. The Effect of Strategic Planning on Effectiveness and Efficiency of Human Resources Management. (2020, June). *European Journal of Business and Management*. https://doi.org/10.7176/ejbm/12-17-03

10. Bardarova, S. (2013). Strategic Decisions in the Management of Human Resources. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2206779

11. Aliaga, O. A. (2000, February). Knowledge Management and Strategic Planning. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, *2*(1), 91–104. https://doi.org/10.1177/152342230000200108

12. Strategic human resources management. (1986, June). *Long Range Planning*, *19*(3), 115. https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-6301(86)90209-8

13. Kramar, R. (1992, September 1). Strategic Human Resource Management: Are the Promises Fulfilled? *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, *30*(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1177/103841119203000102

14. Suri, N., & Lakhanpal, P. (2022, September 23). People Analytics Enabling HR Strategic Partnership: A Review. *South Asian Journal of Human Resources Management*, 232209372211195. https://doi.org/10.1177/23220937221119599

15. PAWLICZEK, A., KOLUMBER, S., KOLOS, P., & CHLOPECKY, J. (2022, May 31). Strategic Human Resources Management and Multinational Strategic Management as Core Topics in Strategic Management: Rapid Review Results. *Journal of Organizational Management Studies*, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.5171/2022.604406

16. Saul, P. (1987, March 1). Change: The Strategic Human Resource Management Challenges. *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, *25*(1), 80–89. https://doi.org/10.1177/103841118702500110

17. Tung, R. L. (1984, June). Strategic management of human resources in the multinational enterprise. *Human Resource Management*, *23*(2), 129–143. https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.3930230204

18. Noor Al-Jedaiah, M., & Albdareen, R. (2020, November 11). The effect of strategic human resources management (SHRM) on organizational excellence. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, *18*(4), 49–58. https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.18(4).2020.05

19. Strategic Human Resources Management as a Success Factor to Achieve Total Quality Management. (2016, June 5). *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)*, *5*(6), 1823–1826. https://doi.org/10.21275/v5i6.nov164656

20. Gashi, R. (2013, October 1). Strategic Human Resources Management: Human Resources or Human Capital. *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*. https://doi.org/10.5901/ajis.2013.v2n9p88